

## UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

VB

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
	09/003,04	17 01/05/	98 VAN OOYEN		А	261922003302
Г			UM4 0 7004 7			EXAMINER
•	KATE H MU	HM12/091 KATE H MURASHIGE			ZAGHMOUT,O	
	MORRISON	% FOERSTER			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		NSYLVANIA A N DC 20006-			1649	件
		•		•	DATE MAILED:	09/17/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks

## **Advisory Action**

Application No. 09/003,047

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Van OOyen et al.

Ousama Zaghmout 1649



T1 15	PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]					
	the form the molling date of the final rejection					
	expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.					
d	Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.					
	Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on(or within any period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).					
Δnn	olicant's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Sep 7, 1999</u> has been considered with the following effect, is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:					
<b>X</b>	The proposed amendment(s):					
(	will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.					
[	will not be entered because:					
	<ul> <li>they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).</li> <li>they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).</li> <li>they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.</li> </ul>					
	they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
	NOTE:					
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):					
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):					
	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.					
	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.					
	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  The amendment did not overcome the 112-Ist rejection for the reasons of record set forth in the previous Office					
	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowable properties.					
	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  The amendment did not overcome the 112-Ist rejection for the reasons of record set forth in the previous Office action.  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  The amendment did not overcome the 112-Ist rejection for the reasons of record set forth in the previous Office action.  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):					
	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  The amendment did not overcome the 112-Ist rejection for the reasons of record set forth in the previous Office action.  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):  Claims allowed: None					
	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  The amendment did not overcome the 112-Ist rejection for the reasons of record set forth in the previous Office action.  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):					
	Newly proposed or amended claims					
	Newly proposed or amended claims					
	Newly proposed or amended claims					

## Interview Summary

Application No. 09/003,047

Ousama Zaghmout

Examiner

Applicant(s)

Group Art Unit

oup Art Unit 1649

Van OOyen et al.



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):					
(1) Ousama Zaghmout (3)					
(2) Thomas G. Wiseman (4)					
Date of Interview Sep 7, 1999					
Type:   Telephonic   Personal (copy is given to   applicant   applicant's representative).					
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:   Yes   No. If yes, brief description:					
Agreement  was reached.  was not reached.  Claim(s) discussed: <i>None</i>					
Identification of prior art discussed:  None					
The Applicants'representative was informed that the after final response was recieved and will be acted upon as soon as possible.					
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)					
1. X It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.					
Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.					
2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.					
Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.					